Exhibit C

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 2995-4 Filed: 12/17/19 2 of 6. PageID #: 431359

35032_Opiates_MDL jn080718.lef 08/07/2018

		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
3	EASTERN DIVISION	
4	₩ 1 ₩ 2 9 ₩	
5	IN RE: NATIONAL)	
6	PRESCRIPTION) MDL No. 2804	
7	OPIATE LITIGATION)	
8) Case No.	
9) 1:17-MD-2804	
10	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES)	
11	TO ALL CASES) Hon. Dan A. Polster	
12	* * *	
13	Tuesday, August 7, 2018	
14	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER	
15	CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW	
16		
17	* * *	
18		
19	Videotaped deposition of Jennifer R. Norris,	
20	held at the offices of BakerHostetler, 200 Civic Center	
21	Drive, Suite 1200, Columbus, Ohio, commencing at	
22	8:09 a.m., on the above date, before Carol A. Kirk,	
23	Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	41	
29	GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES	
30	877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672 fax	
31	deps@golkow.com	

35032_Opiates_MDL jn080718.lef 08/07/2018

- 1 DEA's expectations and that it is not dictated to
- 2 us by the agency pursuant to a regulatory action."
- 3 Correct?
- 4 A. I see that sentence.
- 5 Q. And was this prior to the initiation of
- 6 the regulatory action --
- 7 MS. MAINIGI: Objection to form.
- 8 Q. -- or do you know?
- 9 A. I believe that the orders to show cause
- 10 were issued after that.
- 11 Q. And Mr. Reardon wanted to try to prevent
- 12 a regulatory action being forced to adopt any sort
- 13 of policies and procedures on suspicious order
- 14 monitoring program, correct?
- 15 A. Mr. Reardon understood the obligations
- 16 that the DEA was implementing, the new obligations
- 17 relating to the shipping requirement, and wanted
- 18 to make sure that we had a program implemented
- 19 that would comply with those new -- the new
- 20 guidance.
- Q. But you said they told you about them
- 22 way back in 2006, right?
- 23 A. The initial communication from
- 24 Rannazzisi was in 2006.

35032_Opiates_MDL jn080718.lef 08/07/2018

- Q. And you still hadn't done anything to implement them now in September of 2007, correct?
- 3 A. I cannot --
- 4 MS. MAINIGI: Objection; form.
- 5 A. I cannot presently say the corporation
- 6 doesn't have present knowledge of the actions that
- 7 we took and the timing of what those actions that
- 8 we took in that period.
- 9 Q. So if I understand you correctly, you
- 10 don't have knowledge as to what actions you,
- 11 Cardinal, took during that time frame; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. I don't know the timing and the actions
- 14 taken during the time period --
- 15 Q. So you can't tell me --
- 16 A. -- based on current knowledge.
- 17 Q. So sitting here after prepping for
- 18 three, three and a half weeks, whatever it was,
- 19 you still can't tell us what action Cardinal took
- 20 from the time it was told it had a shipping
- 21 requirement for almost a year, as to what they did
- 22 in relation to that new obligation or what they
- 23 considered a new obligation?
- 24 MS. MAINIGI: Objection to form.

- 1 Misstates her testimony.
- 2 A. The corporation does not have present
- 3 knowledge about the actions and timing of the
- 4 actions that were taken during that period
- 5 presently.
- 6 Q. How doesn't the corporation know what it
- 7 did?
- 8 MS. MAINIGI: Objection.
- 9 Q. Was it taking any medication in the time
- 10 that would inhibit its ability to remember?
- MS. MAINIGI: You don't need to answer
- 12 that question.
- 13 O. How does the corporation not know what
- 14 it did for a year?
- MS. MAINIGI: Objection to form.
- 16 A. The corporation does not presently have
- 17 knowledge of the timing and actions taken during
- 18 the period presently.
- 19 Q. Does it expect to somehow magically get
- 20 knowledge at some point in time?
- 21 MS. MAINIGI: Objection to form.
- 22 Outside the scope.
- 23 A. I believe this case and the discovery
- 24 related to it is continuing.

- 1 Q. So you think the corporation may
- 2 discover what it did ten or eleven years ago at
- 3 some future point in time? Is that your
- 4 testimony?
- 5 MS. MAINIGI: Objection to form.
- 6 A. The corporation cannot presently say
- 7 that -- speak regarding what it was doing in the
- 8 timing during that period.
- 9 Q. When can it speak to when it first took
- 10 action; do you know?
- 11 MS. MAINIGI: Objection to form.
- 12 A. No.
- Q. So sitting here today, the corporation
- 14 can't answer when it first took action related to
- 15 the Rannazzisi letter of 2006, correct?
- 16 A. I cannot say right now.
- 17 Q. Turn to the next page, please. Do you
- 18 see "Key Takeaways"?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And, there again, in this summary from
- 21 HDMA being provided to its members is again the
- 22 DEA's position on suspicious orders and that they
- 23 have a stop shipment or a due diligence
- 24 requirement, correct? I'm sorry. It's under the